

1 OTHER THINGS THAT HAPPENED ON THE RIVER.

2 THE COURT: OKAY.

3 MR. LISZEWSKI: DURING THE PENDENCY OF THIS
4 CASE.

5 THE COURT: I'LL TAKE THE OBJECTIONS ALONG
6 WITH THE CASE. I'M GOING TO LET THEM CALL THEIR
7 WITNESS.

8 MS. KRUG: OKAY.

9 THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED.

10 MS. KRUG: AND THEN YOUR HONOR WITH REGARD TO
11 THE SEVENTH LISTED WITNESS JENNIFER WILLIAMS WHO IS A
12 BUTLER COUNTY ATTORNEY AND THE GUARDIAN AD LITEM FOR
13 THE TWO YOUNGER CHILDREN INCLUDING THE ONE WHO IS
14 RECENTLY DECEASED. AS FAR AS RELATIONS GO WITH THE
15 VICTIM IN THIS CASE SHE IS NOT THE GAL FOR THE PERSON
16 THAT THE DEFENDANT HAS PLED GUILTY TO MOLESTING.

17 MR. LISZEWSKI: THE REASON THAT I HAVE CALLED
18 MS. WILLIAMS TO TESTIFY IS TO EXPLAIN THE EXTENT AND
19 HISTORY OF THE RELATIONSHIP THE DEFENDANT HAD WITH HIS
20 CHILDREN, NOW CHILD OBVIOUSLY, MIKAYLA HAS SINCE PAST,
21 BUT SHE CAN OFFER INSIGHTS INTO WHAT THE COURT WOULD
22 RARELY HAVE AN OPPORTUNTIY TO SEE. AND ADDITIONALLY
23 WHAT THE COURT WOULD BE ABLE TO SEE IS THE RELATIONSHIP
24 THAT CHUCK HAD WITH HIS DAUGHTERS PRIOR TO THIS, HIS
25 BIOLOGICAL DAUGHTERS, NOT THE VICTIM, WITH THIS CASE

1 BEING FILED, SUBSEQUENT TO THE CASE BEING FILED, AND
2 THE ROLE THAT HE PLAYED IN THAT RELATIONSHIP AND AT OUR
3 REQUEST NOT TO SEND HIM TO PRISON BECAUSE OF WHAT HIS
4 ROLE WAS AS A FATHER, AND THAT DIRECTLY FITS IN WITH
5 557.036 WHICH DISCUSSED ONE OF THE CHARACTERISTICS THE
6 COURT IS TO CONSIDER, IS THE HISTORY AND
7 CHARACTERISTICS OF EACH PARTICULAR DEFENDANT. AND I
8 BELIEVE THAT CHILD CUSTODY FACTORS WOULD SUPPORTEDLY
9 FIT WITHIN THAT.

10 MS. KRUG: YOUR HONOR AGAIN WE'RE NOT DEALING
11 WITH THE DIVORCE CASE, WE'RE NOT DEALING WITH THE
12 CUSTODY CASE AND THE HISTORY AND CHARACTER OF HOW HE
13 WAS WITH CHILDREN HE HAS NOT BEEN CHARGED WITH
14 MOLESTING IS NOT RELEVANT TO WHAT HE DID HERE.

15 THE COURT: I'M GOING TO HEAR THE EVIDENCE
16 AND I'LL TAKE OBJECTIONS ALONG WITH THE TESTIMONY.

17 MS. KRUG: THANK YOU YOUR HONOR.

18 THE COURT: OKAY. WHO IS TAKING THE LEAD FOR
19 THE DEFENSE? WHO DO I ADDRESS?

20 MR. LISZEWSKI: YOUR HONOR WE HAVE SPLIT THIS
21 THING UP IF THAT'S ALRIGHT.

22 THE COURT: WELL LET ME ASK, HAS SOMEONE GONE
23 OVER THE SENTENCING ASSESSMENT REPORT WITH YOUR CLIENT?

24 MR. LISZEWSKI: WE BOTH HAVE YOUR HONOR.

25 THE COURT: OKAY IS THERE ANY CORRECTIONS,